ACP Review Process Background

• 40 CFR 300 Appendix E – Oil Spill Response/NCP
• 4.0 Preparedness Activities – NCP/RCP/ACP
• 4.1.3 Area Contingency Plans
Deepwater Horizon ISPR

• Incident Specific Preparedness Review
• Background
• General Findings and
• Focus Areas
  – Planning and Plan execution - AC activity/ ACP policy
  – Organization - Role of RRT’s
  – Response and Readiness – LL from prior spill responses
General Findings

- USCG MER programs
- ACP’s
- ESA’s
Focus Area: Planning and Plan execution

- AC organization and activity
- ACP policy and implementation
- Integration of Oil Spill Contingency Plans for a Regional Response
- Use of In Situ Burning
Focus Area: Organization

• Role of RRT’s
  • ISPR focus was mainly on pre-authorizations
  • ACP’s and ESA’s
Focus Area: Resources and Readiness

- Application of Lessons Learned from prior oil spills
• A lot of Background
• Comments or questions?
RRT V Plan Review and Approval Process

Bob Allen – USCG Ninth District Planning
Deepwater Horizon ISPR identified several systemic problems related to:

- Emergency Preparedness
- Area Contingency Plans
- Area Committee Involvement
- Lessons-Learned Applications
Regional Response Team
ACP/RCP/NCP
Planning Duties:

- Revise RCP
- Evaluate ACPs
- Review/Comment on Local Emergency Plans
- Review Response Actions, Determine ACP Effectiveness
- Engage Technical Specialists as Necessary
- Recommend Changes to the NCP

40 CFR Part 300
Appendix E, Part 3.2(2) (i) 1-9
Region V RRT
Planning Subcommittee
Duties:

Quarterly / Semi-annually?

• Review & Revise the RCP
• Review 5 – Area Contingency Plans for the Great Lakes / Region V
• Review State and local Plans as necessary
• Engage Technical Specialists as necessary
• Recommend Changes to the NCP
REGION V PLANNING SUBCOMMITTEE CHARTER

PURPOSE: In accordance with 40 CFR 300, Appendix E, the Region V Regional Response Team Planning Subcommittee will provide robust planning support to Region V FOSCs, the RRT Co-Chairs and Standing Regional Response Team. Support will include but not be limited to the review of applicable federal, state, and local contingency plans, FOSC response activities, lessons-learned from preparedness exercises and actual emergency response operations, and outreach activities associated with maintaining and nurturing Area Committee membership and participation.

MEMBERSHIP: Members of the Planning Subcommittee shall be designated by the RRT Co-Chairs as appropriately qualified representatives from their agencies to work with FOSC’s in developing and maintain applicable contingency plans.

REPORTING: The Planning Subcommittee will report directly to the RRT Co-Chairs.

STAFF: At least one appropriately qualified member of the Ninth Coast Guard District staff and USEPA Region V staff will serve on the RRT V Planning Subcommittee.

MEETINGS: The Planning Subcommittee will meet semi-annually or more often as may be necessary to review FOSC response operations, Lessons-Learned, ACP and RCP documentation and to prepare for semi-annual RRT meetings.

RESPONSIBILITIES: The Region V Planning Subcommittee is responsible for: (1) Revising the Regional Contingency Plan. (2) Reviewing Region V Area Contingency Plans for effectiveness, alignment with the RCP and NCP, and to recommend appropriate revisions to all contingency plans. (3) Receiving Lessons-Learned from preparedness exercises and FOSC response activities to ensure appropriate support. (4) Support emergency response operations as necessary. (5) Encourage public and private sector participation on Area Committees throughout Region V.

Stephen H. Torpey
USCG District Nine, RRT Co-Chair
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USEPA Region 5, RRT Co-Chair